LMP-TPU– 3/14

# Dynamical realizations of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $l$-conformal Galilei superalgebra

Ivan Masterov

*Laboratory of Mathematical Physics, Tomsk Polytechnic University, 634050 Tomsk, Lenin Ave. 30, Russian Federation*

*Department of Physics, Tomsk State University, 634050 Tomsk, Lenin Ave. 36, Russian Federation*

E-mail: masterov@tpu.ru

arXiv:1407.1438v2 [hep-th] 22 Oct 2014

**Abstract**

Dynamical systems which are invariant under $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric extension of the $l$-conformal Galilei algebra are constructed. These include a free $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superparticle which is governed by higher derivative equations of motion and an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator for a particular choice of its frequencies. A Niederer-like transformation which links the models is proposed.

PACS numbers: 11.30.-j, 11.25.Hf, 02.20.Sv

Keywords: conformal Galilei algebra, Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator, supersymmetry

## 1. Introduction

In recent years nonrelativistic (super)conformal algebras have attracted considerable attention [1]-[35]. On the one hand, this interest originates from the current investigation of the nonrelativistic version of the AdS/CFT-correspondence [36, 37]. On the other hand, this research is motivated by the desire to construct new integrable models and explore novel correlations.

As is well-known, the Galilei algebra is relevant for physics in flat nonrelativistic spacetime. Conformal extension of the Galilei algebra is feasible and, moreover, it is not unique [38]-[40]. In general, conformally extended Galilei algebra involves $(2l+1)$ vector generators where $l$ is a positive integer or half-integer. Such an extension is called the $l$-conformal Galilei algebra [39]. The first two options $l = \frac{1}{2}$ and $l = 1$, which are known in the literature as the Schrödinger algebra [41] and the conformal Galilei algebra [2, 42], have been the focus of most studies [1]-[7], [15]-[17]. More recently, various aspects of $l > 1$ conformal Galilei symmetry have been extensively investigated. These include the construction of dynamical realizations [22]-[28], [31], the study of admissible central and infinite dimensional extensions [18, 19, 35], the analysis of supersymmetric generalizations [19, 21, 30], the investigation of irreducible representations [20, 33] and the possible twist deformations [32].

The Galilei algebra can be obtained from the Poincaré algebra by the nonrelativistic contraction [43]. Likewise, the so-called Newton-Hooke algebra [44, 45] can be derived from the (anti) de Sitter algebra. A specific feature of the Newton-Hooke algebra is that its structure relations involve the nonrelativistic cosmological constant $\Lambda = \mp\frac{1}{R^2}$, where $R$ is the characteristic time which is proportional to the radius of the parent (anti) de Sitter spacetime [45]. The Newton-Hooke algebra also admits the $l$-conformal extension, which, however, is isomorphic to the $l$-conformal Galilei algebra [18, 39, 40]. The change of the basis in the $l$-conformal Galilei algebra

$$K_{-1} \to K_{-1} \pm \frac{1}{R^2} K_1, \tag{1}$$

where $K_{-1}$ is the generator of time translations and $K_1$ is the generator of special conformal transformations, leads to the structure relations of the $l$-conformal Newton-Hooke algebra with negative (upper sign) or positive (lower sign) cosmological constant. By this reason it is customary to speak about realizations of one and the same algebra in a flat spacetime and in the Newton-Hooke spacetime [45].

Supersymmetric extensions of the $l = \frac{1}{2}$-conformal Galilei algebra have been studied in [3, 7, 13, 46, 47]. In particular, such supersymmetry was revealed in a nonrelativistic spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particle, the nonrelativistic limit of the Chern-Simons matter systems, and quantum many-body mechanics. $\mathcal{N}$-extended version was systematically studied in [48]. More recently, in [19, 21, 30] various supersymmetric extensions of the $l$-conformal Galilei algebra were constructed for the case of arbitrary $l$, but their dynamical realizations remain completely unexplored. The purpose of this work is to construct new dynamical realizations of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric extension of the $l$-conformal Galilei algebra in the basis chosen in [21].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the basic facts about the $l$-conformal Galilei algebra. In Section 3 and Section 4, we construct dynamical realizations of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $l$-conformal Galilei superalgebra in flat superspace and in Newton-Hooke superspace, respectively. In Section 5, we summarize our results and discuss possible further develop-

ments. An infinite-dimensional generalization of the $\mathcal{N}=1$ $l$-conformal Galilei algebra in $d=1$ is discussed in Appendix A.

## 2. The $l$-conformal Galilei algebra

Let us recall the structure of the $l$-conformal Galilei algebra. Besides the generators $K_{-1}$ and $K_1$ mentioned above, it involves the generator of dilatations $K_0$, the chain of vector generators $C_i^{(n)}$ with $n=0,1,..,2l$, and the generators of spatial rotations $M_{ij}$. The structure relations read

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[K_p, K_m] = (m-p)K_{p+m}, && [K_p, C_i^{(n)}] = (n-l(p+1))C_i^{(p+n)},\\
&[M_{ij}, C_k^{(n)}] = \delta_{ik}C_j^{(n)} - \delta_{jk}C_i^{(n)}, && [M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = \delta_{ik}M_{jl} + \delta_{jl}M_{ik} - \delta_{il}M_{jk} - \delta_{jk}M_{il}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2}
$$

The algebra admits the central extension whose form depends on whether $l$ is even or odd [18]

$$
[C_i^{(n)}, C_j^{(m)}] = (-1)^n n!m!\lambda_{ij}\delta_{n+m,2l}M, \tag{3}
$$

where

$$
\lambda_{ij} = \begin{cases} \delta_{ij}, & i,j=1,2,..,d, \qquad \text{for half-integer } l;\\ \epsilon_{ij}, & i,j=1,2, \qquad\qquad\quad \text{for integer } l, \end{cases} \tag{4}
$$

$\epsilon_{12}=1$ and $M$ is the central charge. In dynamical realizations the central charges correspond to physical parameters of a systems. As we shall see below in the Sections 3 and 4, the central charges play the crucial role in constructing the dynamical realizations.

## 3. Dynamical realization of $\mathcal{N}=1$ $l$-conformal Galilei superalgebra

Let us consider $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric extension of the $l$-conformal Galilei algebra presented in [21]. In addition to the generators considered in the preceding section, it involves the supersymmetry generator $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}$, the generator of superconformal transformations $G_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and the fermionic partners $L_i^{(n)}$, with $n=0,1,..,2l-1$, of the vector generators. Along with (2) the nonvanishing (anti)commutation relations of the superalgebra include

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{G_r, G_s\} = 2iK_{r+s}, && [K_p, L_i^{(m)}] = (m-(l-1/2)(p+1))L_i^{(p+m)},\\
&\{G_r, L_i^{(n)}\} = iC_i^{(n+r+1/2)}, && [G_r, C_i^{(n)}] = (n-2l\,(r+1/2))\,L_i^{(r+n-1/2)},\\
&[K_p, G_r] = \left(r-\frac{p}{2}\right)G_{n+r}, && [M_{ij}, L_k^{(n)}] = \delta_{ik}L_j^{(n)} - \delta_{jk}L_i^{(n)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5}
$$

The structure relations (2) and (5) are compatible with (3) only if the anticommutators of the fermionic vector generators are modified as follows [21]:

$$
\{L_i^{(n)}, L_j^{(m)}\} = i(-1)^n n!m!\lambda_{ij}\delta_{n+m,2l-1}M. \tag{6}
$$

As the first step, let us check that all the scalar generators in the superalgebra, as well as space rotations, can be realized as quadratic combinations of the bosonic and fermionic

vector generators. Indeed, choosing the ansatz for $K_n$

$$K_n = \sum_{k,m=0}^{2l} \alpha(k,m;n)\lambda_{ij} C_i^{(k)} C_j^{(m)} + \sum_{k,m=0}^{2l-1} \beta(k,m;n)\lambda_{ij} L_i^{(k)} L_j^{(m)},$$

one can unambiguously fix the constants $\alpha(k,m;n)$ and $\beta(k,m;n)$ by imposing the structure relations of the superalgebra. The explicit form of the generators obtained in this way is

$$K_n = \sum_{k=0}^{2l} \frac{\alpha_k}{2}(k - l(n+1))\lambda_{ij} C_i^{(2l-k)} C_j^{(k+n)} + \sum_{k=0}^{2l-1} \frac{\beta_k}{2}(k - (l-1/2)(n+1))\lambda_{ij} L_i^{(2l-1-k)} L_j^{(k+n)},$$

$$G_r = \sum_{k=1}^{2l} \alpha_k k \lambda_{ij} C_i^{(2l-k+r+1/2)} L_j^{(k-1)}, \qquad M_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^{2l} \alpha_k C_i^{(2l-k)} C_j^{(k)} + \sum_{k=0}^{2l-1} \beta_k L_i^{(2l-k-1)} L_j^{(k)}. \tag{7}$$

Note that for integer $l$ the generator of rotation reads

$$M_{12} = -\sum_{k=0}^{2l} \frac{\alpha_k}{2} C_i^{(2l-k)} C_i^{(k)} - \sum_{k=0}^{2l-1} \frac{\beta_k}{2} L_i^{(2l-k-1)} L_i^{(k)}, \tag{8}$$

where we denoted

$$\alpha_k = \frac{(-1)^{2l+k}}{Mk!(2l-k)!}, \qquad \beta_k = \frac{i(-1)^{2l+k-1}}{Mk!(2l-k-1)!}.$$

For $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric extensions of the $l$-conformal Galilei algebra the analogs of (7) and (8) are presented in [21], [30]. Throughout the work the summation over repeated spatial indices is understood.

Thus if one succeeds in constructing a system with conserved vector charges obeying (3) and (6) with respect to some graded Poisson bracket, one can automatically produce additional integrals of motion by making use of (7) and (8). Together with $C_i^{(n)}$, $L_i^{(n)}$ they will obey the structure relations (2), (5) with respect to the same bracket.

Let us construct such a system by applying the method of nonlinear realizations [49, 50] to the subalgebra formed by $K_{-1}$, $C_i^{(n)}$, $L_i^{(n)}$, and $M$. To this end, one starts with a generic subgroup element $e^{aK_{-1}} e^{\zeta_i^{(n)} C_i^{(n)}} e^{i\xi_i^{(n)} L_i^{(n)}} e^{\chi M}$, where $a, \zeta_i^{(n)}, \xi_i^{(n)}, \chi$ are parameters, and considers the transformation of the space

$$G = e^{tK_{-1}} e^{x_i^{(n)} C_i^{(n)}} e^{i\psi_i^{(n)} L_i^{(n)}} e^{\varphi M}, \tag{9}$$

parametrized by the coordinates $t, x_i^{(n)}, \psi_i^{(n)}, \varphi$, which is generated by the left multiplication with the subgroup element. It is assumed that $\xi_i^{(n)}$ and $\psi_i^{(n)}$ anticommute with $L_i^{(n)}$ as well as with each other. The resulting infinitesimal coordinate transformations read

$$\delta t = a, \qquad \delta x_i^{(n)} = \sum_{k=n}^{2l} \frac{(-1)^{k-n} k!}{n!(k-n)!} t^{k-n} \zeta_i^{(k)}, \qquad \delta \psi_i^{(n)} = \sum_{k=n}^{2l-1} \frac{(-1)^{k-n} k!}{n!(k-n)!} t^{k-n} \xi_i^{(k)},$$

$$\delta\varphi = \chi + \sum_{n=0}^{2l} \sum_{k=n}^{2l} \frac{(-1)^k k!(2l-n)!}{2(k-n)!} t^{k-n} \zeta_i^{(k)} \lambda_{ij} x_j^{(2l-n)} + \tag{10}$$

$$+ i \sum_{n=0}^{2l-1} \sum_{k=n}^{2l-1} \frac{(-1)^k k!(2l-n-1)!}{2(k-n)!} t^{k-n} \xi_i^{(k)} \lambda_{ij} \psi_j^{(2l-n-1)}.$$

Then one constructs the Maurer-Cartan one-forms

$$G^{-1}dG = \omega_K K_{-1} + \omega_i^{(n)} C_i^{(n)} + i\tilde{\omega}_i^{(n)} L_i^{(n)} + \omega_M M,$$

where

$$\omega_K = dt, \qquad \omega_i^{(n)} = dx_i^{(n)} + (n+1)x_i^{(n+1)}dt, \qquad \tilde{\omega}_i^{(n)} = d\psi_i^{(n)} + (n+1)\psi_i^{(n+1)}dt, \quad (11)$$

$$\omega_M = d\varphi + \frac{\lambda_{ij}}{2}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{2l}(-1)^n\omega_i^{(n)}x_j^{(2l-n)}n!(2l-n)! + i\sum_{n=0}^{2l-1}(-1)^n\tilde{\omega}_i^{(n)}\psi_j^{(2l-n-1)}n!(2l-n-1)!\right),$$

which hold invariant under all the transformations (10). By definition, $x_i^{(2l+1)} = \psi_i^{(2l)} = 0$.

In general, one can either reduce the number of degrees of freedom or obtain the dynamical equations of motion by setting some of the Maurer-Cartan one-forms to vanish [51]. Let us choose the restrictions

$$\omega_i^{(n)} = 0, \qquad \tilde{\omega}_i^{(n)} = 0, \tag{12}$$

which allow us to exclude all the vector variables except for $x_i^{(0)} \equiv x_i$ and $\psi_i^{(0)} \equiv \psi_i$. Then taking $t$ to be a temporal coordinate, from (12) one obtains the constraints

$$x_i^{(n)} = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!}\frac{d^n x_i}{dt^n}, \qquad \psi_i^{(n)} = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!}\frac{d^n \psi_i}{dt^n}, \tag{13}$$

as well as the dynamical equations of motion

$$\frac{d^{2l+1}x_i}{dt^{2l+1}} = 0, \qquad \frac{d^{2l}\psi_i}{dt^{2l}} = 0. \tag{14}$$

Note that the equations (14) can be derived from the action functional

$$S = \int (-1)^{2l+1}\omega_M = \frac{1}{2}\int dt\, \lambda_{ij}\left(x_i\frac{d^{2l+1}x_j}{dt^{2l+1}} - i\psi_i\frac{d^{2l}\psi_j}{dt^{2l}}\right), \tag{15}$$

which is derived from $\omega_M$ by taking into account the constraints (13). The model (15) is an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric generalization of the free higher derivative particle studied in [22, 23, 26].

In accord with (10), the action (15) is invariant under the transformations

$$\delta t = a, \qquad \delta x_i = \sum_{n=0}^{2l}\tilde{\zeta}_i^{(n)}t^n, \qquad \delta\psi_i = \sum_{n=0}^{2l-1}\tilde{\xi}_i^{(n)}t^n, \tag{16}$$

where $\tilde{\zeta}_i^{(n)} = (-1)^n\zeta_i^{(n)}$, $\tilde{\xi}^{(n)} = (-1)^n\xi_i^{(n)}$. Then the Noether theorem yields the vector constants of the motion

$$C_i^{(n)} = \lambda_{ij}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{(-1)^{k+1}n!}{(n-k)!}t^{n-k}x_j^{(2l-k)}, \quad L_i^{(n)} = i\lambda_{ij}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{(-1)^k n!}{(n-k)!}t^{n-k}\psi_j^{(2l-k-1)}, \tag{17}$$

as well as $K_{-1}$

$$K_{-1}=\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{ij}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{2l}(-1)^{k+1}x_i^{(k)}x_j^{(2l-k+1)}+i\sum_{k=1}^{2l-1}(-1)^k\psi_i^{(k)}\psi_j^{(2l-k)}\right). \tag{18}$$

Here and in what follows the upper superscript in braces, which is attached to coordinates, denotes the number of time derivatives. Note that the latter is related to (17) via (7).

Introducing the graded Poisson bracket

$$[A,B\}=\lambda_{ij}\sum_{n=0}^{2l}(-1)^n\frac{\partial A}{\partial x_i^{(n)}}\frac{\partial B}{\partial x_j^{(2l-n)}}+i\lambda_{ij}\sum_{n=0}^{2l-1}(-1)^{n+1}\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}A}{\partial\psi_i^{(n)}}\frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}B}{\partial\psi_j^{(2l-n-1)}}, \tag{19}$$

it is straightforward to check that the integrals of motion (7) and (17) do obey the structure relations of the centrally extended $\mathcal{N}=1$ $l$-conformal Galilei superalgebra (2), (3), (5), (6) with $M=1$. When verifying the algebra, the following relations

$$[x_i^{(n)},x_j^{(m)}\}=(-1)^n\delta_{n+m,2l}\lambda_{ij},\qquad[\psi_i^{(n)},\psi_j^{(m)}\}=i(-1)^{n+1}\delta_{n+m,2l-1}\lambda_{ij} \tag{20}$$

prove to be helpful. It should be noted that the equations $[x_i^{(n)},K_{-1}\}=x_i^{(n+1)}$, $[\psi_i^{(n)},K_{-1}\}=\psi_i^{(n+1)}$ hold.

Concluding this section, we display the infinitesimal symmetry transformations of the action (15) which correspond to the integrals of motion constructed above

$$\begin{aligned}
&K_n: && \delta t=t^{n+1}a_n, && \delta x_i=l(n+1)t^nx_ia_n, && \delta\psi_i=(l-1/2)(n+1)t^n\psi_ia_n,\\
&G_r: && \delta x_i=it^{r+1/2}\psi_i\alpha_r, && \delta\psi_i=(t^{r+1/2}\dot{x}_i-2l(r+1/2)x_i)\alpha_r,\\
&M_{ij}: && \delta x_i=w_{ij}x_j, && \delta\psi_i=w_{ij}\psi_j, && (w_{ij}=-w_{ji}).
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

To summarize, a free superparticle obeying the higher derivative equations of motion (14) provides the simplest dynamical realization of $\mathcal{N}=1$ $l$-conformal Galilei superalgebra. Note that this result is in agreement with the previous studies in [22]-[23] and [26]-[27].

## 4. Dynamical realization of $\mathcal{N}=1$ $l$-conformal Newton-Hooke superalgebra

As is known, realizations of the $l$-conformal Galilei algebra in a flat spacetime and in the Newton-Hooke spacetime [39, 40] are related by the coordinate transformations which, for the case of a negative cosmological constant, reads [15, 18, 52]

$$t'=R\tan(t/R),\qquad x_i'(t')=x_i(t)/\cos^{2l}(t/R). \tag{22}$$

Here the prime designates coordinates parametrizing flat spacetime.

Let us construct an analogous transformation which links the model (15) to its Newton-Hooke counterpart. To this end, we first note that the equations of motion for $\psi_i$ in (14) can be formally obtained from the equations of motion for $x_i$ by the substitution $x_i\to\psi_i$, $l\to l-1/2$. Using this observation, one obtains the transformation for the odd variables

$$\psi_i'(t')=\psi_i(t)/\cos^{2l-1}(t/R). \tag{23}$$

Implementing the transformations (22) and (23) to (15), one derives the action functional

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\int dt \left( x_i \prod_{k=1}^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \frac{(2k-1)^2}{R^2} \right) x_i - i\psi_i \prod_{k=1}^{l-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \frac{(2k)^2}{R^2} \right) \dot{\psi}_i \right), \tag{24}$$

which is valid for half-integer $l$. For integer $l$, one gets

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\int dt\, \epsilon_{ij} \left( x_i \prod_{k=1}^{l} \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \frac{(2k)^2}{R^2} \right) \dot{x}_j - i\psi_i \prod_{k=1}^{l} \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \frac{(2k-1)^2}{R^2} \right) \psi_j \right). \tag{25}$$

These actions describe $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric extensions of the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator [53] (for a review see [54]) for a particular choice of its frequencies. The bosonic limit of (24) has been considered in detail in a recent work [34] (see also [29]).

The form of the symmetry transformations which leave the actions (24) and (25) invariant, as well as the form of the associated integrals of motion, is readily obtained by applying (22), (30) to (16), (21), (17) and (7), respectively. In particular, from (17) one derives the transformations corresponding to the vector generators

$$\delta x_i = \sum_{n=0}^{2l} \tilde{\zeta}_i^{(n)} R^n \sin^n \frac{t}{R} \cos^{2l-n} \frac{t}{R},\ \delta\psi_i = \sum_{n=0}^{2l-1} \tilde{\xi}_i^{(n)} R^n \sin^n \frac{t}{R} \cos^{2l-n-1} \frac{t}{R}, \tag{26}$$

and the integrals of motion associated with them

$$C_i^{(n)} = \lambda_{ij} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} n!}{(n-k)!} (t')^{n-k} (x'_j)^{(2l-k)},\ L_i^{(n)} = i\lambda_{ij} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k n!}{(n-k)!} (t')^{n-k} (\psi'_j)^{(2l-k-1)}. \tag{27}$$

With respect to the graded bracket

$$[A, B\} = \lambda_{ij} \sum_{n=0}^{2l} (-1)^n \frac{\partial A}{\partial (x'_i)^{(n)}} \frac{\partial B}{\partial (x'_j)^{(2l-n)}} + \lambda_{ij} \sum_{n=0}^{2l-1} (-1)^n \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} A}{\partial (\psi'_i)^{(n)}} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial} B}{\partial (\psi'_j)^{(2l-n-1)}}, \tag{28}$$

they obey the structure relations (3) and (6). The existence of the relations similar to (7) for the bosonic limit of (24) was anticipated in [55]. Eqs. (27) and (28) involve the derivatives of $x'_i$ and $\psi'_i$ with respect to $t'$, i.e., $\frac{d}{dt'} = \cos^2 \frac{t}{R} \frac{d}{dt}$.

The remaining symmetries of the actions (24) and (25) read

$$\begin{aligned}
K_0: &\quad \delta t = \frac{R}{2} \sin \frac{2t}{R} a_0, \quad \delta x_i = l \cos \frac{2t}{R} x_i a_0, \quad \delta\psi_i = \left( l - \frac{1}{2} \right) \cos \frac{2t}{R} \psi_i a_0; \\
K_1: &\quad \delta t = R^2 \sin^2 \frac{t}{R} a_1, \quad \delta x_i = lR \sin \frac{2t}{R} x_i a_1, \quad \delta\psi_i = \left( l - \frac{1}{2} \right) R \sin \frac{2t}{R} \psi_i a_1; \\
G_{-\frac{1}{2}}: &\quad \delta x_i = i \cos \frac{t}{R} \psi_i \alpha_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \delta\psi_i = \left( \cos \frac{t}{R} \dot{x}_i + \frac{2l}{R} \sin \frac{t}{R} x_i \right) \alpha_{-\frac{1}{2}}; \\
G_{\frac{1}{2}}: &\quad \delta x_i = iR \sin \frac{t}{R} \psi_i \alpha_{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \delta\psi_i = \left( R \sin \frac{t}{R} \dot{x}_i - 2l \cos \frac{t}{R} x_i \right) \alpha_{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

while the transformations corresponding to the generators $K_{-1}$ and $M_{ij}$ are the same as in (21). In order to obtain the transformation corresponding to $K_{-1}$ and the corresponding integral of motion, one has to make the linear change of the basis (1). Thus, the actions (24) and (25) are invariant under $\mathcal{N}=1$ $l$-conformal Galilei superalgebra realized in Newton-Hooke superspace.

The case of a positive cosmological constant can be treated by implementing the formal change of the characteristic time $R \to iR$. In particular, for a half-integer $l$ the analogues of (22) and (23),

$$t' = R\tanh(t/R), \qquad x_i'(t') = x_i(t)/\cosh^{2l}(t/R), \qquad \psi_i'(t') = \psi_i(t)/\cosh^{2l-1}(t/R), \quad (30)$$

yield the action functional

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\int dt \left( x_i \prod_{k=1}^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} - \frac{(2k-1)^2}{R^2} \right) x_i - i\psi_i \prod_{k=1}^{l-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} - \frac{(2k)^2}{R^2} \right) \dot{\psi}_i \right), \quad (31)$$

while for integer $l$ one gets

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\int dt\, \epsilon_{ij} \left( x_i \prod_{k=1}^{l} \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} - \frac{(2k)^2}{R^2} \right) \dot{x}_j - i\psi_i \prod_{k=1}^{l} \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} - \frac{(2k-1)^2}{R^2} \right) \psi_j \right). \quad (32)$$

These actions can be viewed as describing $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric extensions of the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator for the particular choice of imaginary frequencies.

It should be stressed that in the flat space limit $R \to \infty$ all the formulas corresponding to the realizations (24), (25), (31), (32) in the Newton-Hooke superspace correctly reproduce the respective expressions in a flat superspace.

## 5. Conclusion

To summarize, in this work we have constructed dynamical realizations of $\mathcal{N}=1$ $l$-conformal Galilei superalgebra in a flat superspace and in the Newton-Hooke superspace. Coordinate transformations which link the realizations were found. The models describe a free higher derivative superparticle and an $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric extension of the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator for the particular choice of its frequencies, respectively.

Turning to possible further developments, it is interesting to investigate whether an $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric extension of the $l$-conformal Galilei algebra can be realized in systems without higher derivatives in the equations of motion. In this context, it would be interesting to construct a supersymmetric extensions of the models constructed in [24], [29]. Then it is worth generalizing the results in [1], [14] to incorporate $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetry. A generalization of the analysis in this paper to the case of $\mathcal{N}=2$ $l$-conformal Galilei superalgebras [19, 21, 30] is worth studying as well.

## Acknowledgements

We thank A. Galajinsky for the comments on the paper. This work was supported by the Dynasty Foundation, Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR) grant 14-02-31139-Mol, the MSE program "Nauka" under project 3.825.2014/K, and TPU grant LRU.FTI.123.2014.

## Appendix A. Infinite-dimensional extension.

It should be noted that the generators $K_n$ and $G_r$ in (5) form centerless $\mathcal{N}=1$ Neveu-Schwarz algebra ($\mathcal{NS}$) [56], if one takes $n$ and $r$ to be arbitrary integer and half-integer numbers, respectively. It is natural to ask whether an infinite-dimensional extension of the $\mathcal{N}=1$ $l$-conformal Galilei superalgebra is feasible. Such an extension for $\mathcal{N}=1$ Schrödinger superalgebra in $d=1$ has been systematically constructed in [3] as the superalgebra of some functions forming a closed set under the graded Poisson bracket

$$[f,g\}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial q}\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}\frac{\partial g}{\partial q}+i\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}f}{\partial\theta}\frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}g}{\partial\theta}. \tag{A1}$$

According to the analysis in [3], one can choose $K_n=q\,p^{n+1}$ and $G_r=\sqrt{2}\,q^{\frac{1}{2}}\,p^{r+\frac{1}{2}}\,\theta$ which under (A1) obey the structure relations of $\mathcal{NS}$. Other generators

$$C^{(n)}=q^l\,p^n,\qquad L^{(n)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,q^{l-1/2}\,p^n\,\theta. \tag{A2}$$

can be unambiguously fixed by imposing the structure relations (5). In contrast to (3) and (6) the nonvanishing relations between the generators (A2) read

$$[C^{(n)},C^{(m)}\}=l(m-n)M^{m+n}_{1,1},\qquad [L^{(n)},L^{(m)}\}=\frac{i}{2}M^{n+m+1}_{1,1}, \tag{A3}$$

where we denoted

$$M^n_{k,s}=p^{n-1}q^{2lk-s}. \tag{A4}$$

Moreover, the relations involving (A4) and $G_r$, $L^{(n)}$,

$$[G_r,M^n_{k,s}\}=(n-1-(2lk-s)(2r+1))\tilde{M}^{n+r-1}_{k,2s+1},$$

$$[L^{(n)},M^m_{k,s}\}=\frac{1}{2}\left((2l-1)(m-1)-2n(2lk-s)\right)\tilde{M}^{m+n-3/2}_{k,2s-2l+3}, \tag{A5}$$

yield extra generators

$$\tilde{M}^r_{k,s}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}p^{r-\frac{1}{2}}q^{2lk-\frac{1}{2}s}\theta. \tag{A6}$$

Along with (2), (5), (A3), (A5) the structure relations of the desired infinite-dimensional extension involve

$$[M^{n_1}_{k_1,s_1},M^{n_2}_{k_2,s_2}\}=\left((2lk_1-s_1)(n_2-1)-(2lk_2-s_2)(n_1-1)\right)M^{n_1+n_2-2}_{k_1+k_2,s_1+s_2+1},$$

$$[K_n,M^m_{k,s}\}=(m-1-(2lk-s)(n+1))M^{n+m}_{k,s},\qquad [\tilde{M}^{r_1}_{k_1,s_1},\tilde{M}^{r_2}_{k_2,s_2}\}=\frac{i}{2}M^{r_1+r_2}_{k_1+k_2,\frac{1}{2}(s_1+s_2)},$$

$$[K_n,\tilde{M}^r_{k,s}\}=(r-1/2-(2lk-s/2)(n+1))\tilde{M}^{n+r}_{k,s},\ [G_{r_1},\tilde{M}^{r_2}_{k,s}\}=iM^{r_1+r_2}_{k,\frac{1}{2}(s-1)},$$

$$[C^{(n)},M^m_{k,s}\}=\left(l(m-1)-n(2lk-s)\right)M^{n+m-1}_{k,s-l+1},\qquad [L^{(n)},\tilde{M}^r_{k,s}\}=\frac{i}{2}M^{n+r+1/2}_{k,\frac{1}{2}(s+1)-l},$$

$$[C^{(n)},\tilde{M}^r_{k,s}\}=\left(l(r-1/2)-n(2lk-s/2)\right)\tilde{M}^{n+r-1}_{k,s-2l+2},$$

$$[L^{(n)},M^m_{k,s}\}=\frac{1}{2}\left((2l-1)(m-1)-2n(2lk-s)\right)\tilde{M}^{m+n-3/2}_{k,2s-2l+3}.$$

By analogy with [3], one can define the grading of coordinates as follows

$$gra(p) = 0, \qquad gra(q) = 1, \qquad gra(\theta) = \frac{1}{2},$$

with respect to which

$$gra(K_n) = gra(G_r) = 1, \qquad gra(C^{(n)}) = gra(L^{(n)}) = l,$$

$$gra(M^n_{k,s}) = 2lk - s, \quad gra(\tilde{M}^r_{k,s}) = 2lk - s/2 + 1/2. \qquad (A7)$$

In Ref. [3], the grading relations (A7) play the crucial role as they allow one to simplify the infinite-dimensional superalgebra considerably. In particular, it has been shown that for $l = 1/2$ one can construct the quotient algebra which involves only additional generators $M^n_{1,1}$ and $\tilde{M}^n_{1,3}$ (for more details see [3]). Unfortunately, the difference in grading (A7) makes an analogous simplification for the superalgebra with $l > 1$ problematic.

# References


[1] R. Cherniha, M. Henkel, On nonlinear partial differential equations with an infinite-dimensional conditional symmetry, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **298** (2004) 487, math-ph/0402059.

[2] J. Lukierski, P.C. Stichel, W.J. Zakrzejewski, Exotic Galilean conformal symmetry and its dynamical realizations, Phys. Lett. A **357** (2006) 1, hep-th/0511259.

[3] M. Henkel, J. Unterberger, Supersymmetric extensions of Schrodinger-invariance, Nucl. Phys. B **746** (2006) 155, math-ph/0512024.

[4] J. Lukierski, P.C. Stichel, W.J. Zakrzejewski, Acceleration-extended Galilean symmetries with central charges and their dynamical realizations, Phys. Lett. B **650** (2007) 203, hep-th/0702179.

[5] A. Galajinsky, Remark on quantum mechanics with conformal Galilei symmetry, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 087701, arXiv:0808.1553.

[6] C. Duval, P.A. Horvathy, Non-relativistic conformal symmetries and Newton-Cartan structures, J. Phys. A **42** (2009) 465206, arXiv:0904.0531.

[7] A. Galajinsky, I. Masterov, Remark on quantum mechanics with N=2 Schrodinger supersymmetry, Phys. Lett. B **675** (2009) 116, arXiv:0902.2910.

[8] C. Duval, M. Hassaine, P.A. Horvathy, The geometry of Schrödinger symmetry in gravity background/nonrelativistic CFT, Annals Phys. **324** (2009) 1158, arXiv:0809.3128.

[9] A. Galajinsky, N=2 superconformal Newton-Hooke algebra and many-body mechanics, Phys. Lett. B **680** (2009) 510, arXiv:0906.5509.

[10] J.A. de Azcarraga, J. Lukierski, Galilean superconformal symmetries, Phys. Lett. B **678** (2009) 411, arXiv:0905.0141.

[11] A. Galajinsky, O. Lechtenfeld, Harmonic N=2 Mechanics, Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 065012, arXiv:0907.2242.

[12] P.D. Alvarez, J.L. Cortes, P.A. Horvathy, M.S. Plyushchay, Super-extended noncommutative Landau problem and conformal symmetry, JHEP **0903** (2009) 034, arXiv:0901.1021.

[13] A. Galajinsky, Conformal mechanics in Newton-Hooke spacetime, Nucl. Phys. B **832** (2010) 586, arXiv:1002.2290.

[14] R. Cherniha, M. Henkel, The exotic conformal Galilei algebra and nonlinear partial differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **369** (2010) 120, arXiv:0910.4822.

[15] C. Duval, P. Horvathy, Conformal Galilei groups, Veronese curves, and Newton-Hooke spacetime, J. Phys. A **44** (2011) 335203, arXiv:1104.1502.

[16] S. Fedoruk, E. Ivanov, J. Lukierski, Galilean confromal mechanics from nonlinear realizations, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 085013, arXiv:1101.1658.

[17] S. Fedoruk, J. Lukierski, The algebraic structure of Galilean superconformal symmetries, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 065002, arXiv:1105.3444.

[18] A. Galajinsky, I. Masterov, Remarks on l-conformal extension of the Newton-Hooke algebra, Phys.Lett. B **702** (2011) 265, arXiv:1104.5115.

[19] I. Masterov, N=2 supersymmetric extension of l-conformal Galilei algebra, J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012) 072904, arXiv:1112.4924.

[20] N. Aizawa, P.S. Isaac, Y. Kimura, Highest weight representations and Kac determinant for a class of conformal Galilei algebras with central extension, Int. J. Math. **23** (2012), 1250118, arXiv:1204.2871.

[21] N. Aizawa, N=2 Galilean superconformal algebras with central extension, J. Phys. A **45** (2012) 475203, arXiv:1206.2708.

[22] J. Gomis, K. Kamimura, Schrodinger equation for higher order non-relativistic particles and N-Galilean conformal symmetry, Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 045023, arXiv:1109.3773.

[23] K. Andrzejewski, J. Gonera, Nonrelativistic conformal groups and their dynamical realizations, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 065009, arXiv:1204.5950.

[24] A. Galajinsky, I. Masterov, Dynamical realization of l-conformal Galilei algebra and oscillators, Nucl. Phys. B **866** (2013), arXiv:1208.1403.

[25] K. Andrzejewski, J. Gonera, Unitary representations of N-conformal Galilei group, Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) 065011, arXiv:1305.4777.

[26] K. Andrzejewski, J. Gonera, A. Kijanka-Dec, Nonrelativistic conformal transformations in Lagrangian formalism, Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 065012, arXiv:1301.1531.

[27] K. Andrzejewski, J. Gonera, Dynamical interpretation of nonrelativistic conformal groups, Phys. Lett. B **721** (2013) 319.

[28] K. Andrzejewski, J. Gonera, P. Kosiński, P. Maślanka, On dynamical realizations of l-conformal Galilei groups, Nucl. Phys. B **876** (2013) 309, arXiv:1305.6805.

[29] A. Galajinsky, I. Masterov, Dynamical realizations of l-conformal Newton-Hooke group, Phys. Lett. B **723** (2013) 190, arXiv:1303.3419.

[30] N. Aizawa, Z. Kuznetsova, F. Toppan, Chiral and Real N=2 supersymmetric l-conformal Galilei algebras, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013) 093506, arXiv:1307.5259.

[31] N. Aizawa, Interwining operator for l-conformal Galilei algebras and hierarchy of invariant equations, J. Phys. A **46** (2013) 405204, arXiv:1308.0121.

[32] M. Daszkiewicz, Twist deformation of l-conformal Galilei Hopf algebra, Adv. Stud. Theor. Phys. **7** (2013) 471, arXiv:1307.1140.

[33] R. Lu, V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao, On simple modules over conformal Galilei algebras, J. of Pure and Appl. Algebra **218** (2013) 10, arXiv:1310.6284.

[34] K. Andrzejewski, A. Galajinsky, J. Gonera, I. Masterov, Conformal Newton-Hooke symmetry of Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator, Nucl. Phys. B **885** (2014) 150, arXiv:1402.1297.

[35] A. Hosseiny, Possible central extension of non-relativistic conformal algebras in 1+1, J. Math. Phys. **55** (2014) 061704, arXiv:1403.4537.

[36] D.T. Son, Toward an AdS/cold atoms correspondence: a geometric realization of the Schrodinger symmetry, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 046003, arXiv:0804.3972.

[37] K. Balasubramanian, J. McGreevy, Gravity duals for non-relativistic CFTs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 061601, arXiv:0804.4053.

[38] M. Henkel, Local scale invariance and strongly anisotropic equilibrium critical system, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78** (1997) 1940, cond-mat/9610174.

[39] J. Negro, M.A. del Olmo, A. Rodriguez-Marco, Nonrelativistic conformal groups, J. Math. Phys. **38** (1997), 3786.

[40] J. Negro, M.A. del Olmo, A. Rodriguez-Marco, Nonrelativistic conformal groups. II. Further developments and physical applications, J. Math. Phys. **38** (1997), 3810.

[41] U. Niederer, The maximal kinematical invariance group of the free Schrodinger equation, Helv. Phys. Acta **45** (1972) 802.

[42] P. Havas, J. Plebańsky, Conformal extensions of the Galilei group and their relation to the Schrödinger group, J. Math. Phys. **19** (1978) 482.

[43] E. Inonu, E.P. Wigner, On the contraction of groups and their representations, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **39** (1953) 510.

[44] H. Bacry, J.M. Lévy-Leblond, Possible kinematics, J. Math. Phys. **9** (1968) 1605.

[45] G.W. Gibbons, C.E. Patricot, Newton-Hooke space-time, Hpp waves and the cosmological constant, Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) 5225, hep-th/0308200.

[46] J.P. Gaunlett, J. Gomis, P.K. Townsend, Supersymmetry and the physical phase space formulation of spinning particles, Phys. Lett. B **248** (1990) 288.

[47] M. Leblanc, G. Lozano, H. Min, Extended superconformal Galilean symmetry in Chern-Simons matter systems, Annals Phys.**219** (1992) 328, hep-th/9206039.

[48] C. Duval, P.A. Horvathy, On Schrodinger superalgebras, J. Math. Phys. **35** (1994) 2516, hep-th/0508079.

[49] S.R. Coleman, J. Wess, B. Zumino, Structure of phenomenological Lagrangians. 1., Phys. Rev. **177** (1969) 2239.

[50] C.G. Callan, S.R. Coleman, J. Wess, B. Zumino, Structure of phenomenological Lagrangians. 2., Phys. Rev. **177** (1969) 2247.

[51] E.A. Ivanov, V.I. Ogievetsky, The inverse Higgs phenomenon in nonlinear realizations, Teor. Mat. Fiz. **25** (1975) 164.

[52] U. Niederer, The maximal kinematical invariance group of the harmonic oscillator, Helv. Phys. Acta **46** (1973), 191.

[53] A. Pais, G.E. Uhlenbeck, On field theories with nonlocalized action, Phys. Rev. **79** (1950) 145.

[54] A.V. Smilga, Comments on the dynamics of the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator, SIGMA **5** (2009) 017, arXiv:0808.0139.

[55] K. Andrzejewski, Hamiltonian formalisms and symmetries of the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator, arXiv:1410.0479.

[56] M. Ademollo, L. Brink et al, Supersymmetric strings and colour confinement, Phys. Lett. B **62** (1976) 105.